HEALTH FREEDOM PUBLIC HEALTH

and vaccination

Beginning in early 2020, advocates of a radical 'public health' ideology attempted to introduce wide-ranging changes in society — under the pretext of furthering the 'common good'.

This leaflet briefly attempts to examine this insincere and dangerous ideology.

Covid-19 is over so why are you bringing this up?

→ Because similar public health interventions will quite likely be used in the years to come.

Public health has been weaponized

The most strident defenders of the recent public health measures are not really concerned with health; they are concerned with control. They are not motivated by genuine concern for others, but by dogmatic and grandiose ideas about how society should be organised.

Motivations aside, the actual *outcomes* of these measures (economic upheaval, social isolation, iatrogenic harms, *etc.*) run directly counter to health and well-being. But that topic would require a separate leaflet.

CAVEAT: 'Public health' is obviously a very broad subject, and - historically - has contributed to important initiatives such as improved sanitation and hygiene, proper sewerage systems, *etc*. The problem is that public health has been weaponized by political ideologues and the relevant science has been contaminated by commercial and political interests.

Vaccination and coercion

Many public figures – in the W.H.O., the EU, and in academia – have touted the prospect of mandatory vaccinations - for Covid 19 or other 'public health emergency of international concern'.



No one should ever be coerced or pressured in to taking vaccines that they don't want.

Mandatory Covid vaccinations in the EU: In March 2022, Austria actually attempted to impose mandatory vaccination. Greece introduced similar mandates for people over 60 years of age.

Ursula von der Leyen, EU Chief, has also expressed tentative support for mandatory vaccination.

Public health and the common good

During the lockdown we were often reminded that we 'are all in this together' - implying that the public health measures were largely about the 'common good'. But we need to step back and look at the economic forces shaping our country.

Our political figures, media pundits, thought leaders, members of advisory bodies, and the wider medical establishment are either beholden to - or ideologically blinded by - the pharmaceutical giants whose output constitues a significant portion of our nation's GDP.

No meaningful discussion about the 'common good' can take place until this fact is acknowledged.

The most strident proponents of the recent 'public health' measures have a dream: their dream is to turn the nation - even all of civilised society - in to one giant hospital or nursing home.

In this arrangement, most of us would essentially be wards in a medico-pharma-run state, with our health and related affairs micro-managed by over-zealous busybodies, attached by intravenous drip - if not literally at least metaphorically - to Pharma's assembly line of vaccines, anti-depressants, mood stabilizers and whatever they choose to hoist upon the docile masses.

This notion of the 'common good' is not just distorted; it is positively dystopian!

Bodily integrity (in the sense of refusing unwanted medical interventions) and the 'common good' should not be mutually exclusive!

Altruism and responsibility

Defenders of the recent public health interventions try to portray those who refused vaccination as selfish and irresponsible, but this is deep hypocrisy.

The actions of those who defend these heavy-handed interventions are not altruistic, but motivated by commerce, politics, ideology and a desire to keep face. And few things could be more irresponsible than unleashing an array of experimental vaccines on the population at large, while simultaneously ignoring the longer-term implications these interventions could have for society.

More could be said on this point, as implying that the unvaccinated are 'selfish' is a key technique used to disarm them in debate. But protecting oneself or one's children from unwanted and potentially dangerous medical interventions - and maintaining a health skepticism - is not 'selfish'; it's the epitome of responsibility.

Relentless lies by media

The national media have relentlessly promoted either lies or half-truths regarding Covid-19 - such as:

- "The PCR tests are accurate and reliable."
- "X number of people were hospitalised or died today due to Covid." (intentional misrepresentation of death causes.)
- "Healthy people can spread the virus via 'asymptomatic transmission'."
- "The Covid injections are safe and effective."
- "Natural immunity does not exist or is not adequate."
- "Hospitals are full of the unvaccinated."

There is not enough space in this leaflet to dissect this propaganda. You can follow some of the links below for more information. Dr. Mike Yeadon, for example, touches on some of the important points in this article: https://doctors4covidethics.org/the-covid-lies/.

Summary

We need to recognise that public health science has been weaponized and - in its present form - constitutes a serious threat to freedom, self-determination and to a civil and peaceful society. Then we need to challenge the efforts of the people promoting this ideology in the future.

More information

- Independent news and analysis:
 - Off-Guardian: https://off-guardian.org/
 - Winter Oak: https://winteroak.org.uk/
- HART group: https://www.hartgroup.org/
- Doctors for Covid Ethics: https://doctors4covidethics.org/
- Dr Suzanne Humphries: https://drsuzanne.net/